



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/207,748	12/08/1998	QI BI	16-5-23	7216

7590 01/03/2002

DOCKET ADMINSTRATOR RM 3C-512
LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC
600 MOUNTAIN AVE
P O BOX 636
MURRAY HILL, NJ 079740636

EXAMINER

TRAN, PHUC H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2664

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/207,748	BI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PHUC H TRAN	2664	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsunchara et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6307844 B1).

- With respect to claims 1 & 3, Tsunchara teaches a method for using a common channel shared by mobile stations to transmit power control signals to the mobile stations, each accessing on an uplink channel, which is interpreted as the method for communicating power control information for communication channels, which comprises steps of: transmitting power control information for a channel within a portion of a first segment of a common channel, and transmitting power control information for a second channel within a portion of a second segment of the common channel, wherein the first segment being one of a plurality of repeating

Art Unit: 2664

segments (e.g. Fig. 7 shows the power control information for channels in portions 111).

Tsunchara fails to teach the power control information being transmitted on a pilot channel.

However, it should be understood that the common channel is simply a control channel or answer channel for carrying controlling information (i.e. power control). Tsunchara also teaches a pilot channel from the base station to the mobile terminals (col. 3, lines 59-61). The pilot channel is also known as a control channel. Since the common channel and the pilot channel are the control channel, therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to transmit the power control information on the pilot channel for each uplink channel assigned to each mobile station so that the mobile transmission power level may be dynamically adjusted.

- With respect to claim 2, Tsunchara also teaches the step of alternating between the transmission of power control information for the first channel and the transmission of power control information for the second channel (e.g. Fig. 2 shows the channel 2 is alternating transmission power control information for channels 3).

- With respect to claim 4, Tsunchara fails to explicitly teach power control information for the first channel is transmitted more than once for each transmission of power control information for the second channel. It inherently knows that the times transmit the power control information for communication channels such as the design choice to communicate between the subscriber and base station base on the priority of data information.

- With respect to claims 5-8, Tsunchara fails to explicitly teach the channels, which are a voice, data, and video channel. But it is inherently known the channels are voice, data and video

Art Unit: 2664

information in the communication channels that is communicated between the base station and mobile station.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Ling et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5737327) discloses method and apparatus for demodulation and power control bit detection in a spread spectrum communication system.

- Tiedemann, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6137840) discloses method and apparatus for performing fast power control in a mobile communication system.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHUC H TRAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-7471. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WELLINGTON CHIN can be reached on (703) 305-4366. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 872-9314.

Phuc Tran
Assistant Examiner
Art Unit 2664
P.t
December 31, 2001



**Ricky Ngo
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2664**